

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/685,828	10/14/2003	Stuart S. P. Parkin	ARC920030050US1	5260
28342 75	11/16/2004		EXAMINER.	
SAMUEL A. KASSATLY LAW OFFICE 20690 VIEW OAKS WAY			LAM, DAVID	
SAN JOSE, CA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2818	
			DATE MAILED: 11/16/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	L A	IN I
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summany	10/685,828	PARKIN, STUART S. P.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	David Lam	2818
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the (correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tingly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 M 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under M 	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		(
4) Claim(s) 1-52 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-52 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	own from consideration.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Examiner :
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/685,828 Page 2

Art Unit: 2818

DETAILED ACTION

Respond to Preliminary Amendment

- 1. This office action is in response to the preliminary amendment file on 5/21/04.
 - Claims 1-52 are pending in the application.

Specification

2. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-52 provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-70 of copending Application No. 10/458,554. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct

Application/Control Number: 10/685,828

Art Unit: 2818

from each other because the elements recited in claims 1-52 of the present application are art recognize equivalent with claims 1-70 of copending Application No. 10/458,554.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

With regard to claims 1-51, the present application recited a memory system and method of reading comprising magnetic tunneling junction, magnetic medium comprising domain wall for an electric current passed through during reading operation, which is a mere broader version of the memory system and method reading disclose in claims 1-70 of copending Application No. 10/458,554. The purpose of the reading device is to reduce size and cost effective for magnetic memory device.

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- Gillies et al. (6,438,026) disclose a magnetic field element having a biasing magnetic layer structure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Lam whose telephone number is 571-272-1782. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:00-4:30.

Art Unit: 2818

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on 571-272-1787. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

D. Lam

November 13, 2004

DAVID LAM BIMARY EXAMINER